

| Mine Name | Region | State | Response Type | Lead Agency | Presence of large quantities of mine drainage water (including wet tailings) that is NOT free draining. | Presence of large quantities of slightly contaminated, impounded water (e.g., stormwater) that would be a problem if accidentally released? |
|--------------------|--------|-------|---------------|-------------|---|---|
| Asarco | 9 | AZ | Remedial SAS | EPA | Y | N |
| Iron Mountain Mine | 9 | CA | Remedial | EPA | | |
| Klau/Buena Vista | 9 | CA | Remedial | EPA | N | Y |
| Cyprus Tohono Mine | 9 | AZ | SAS/RI | | | |
| Argonaut | 9 | CA | Removal | EPA | | |
| Mount Diablo Mine | 9 | CA | Removal | State of CA | | |
| Yerington | 9 | NV | Remedial SAS | | | |
| | 10 | | | | | |
| | 8 | | | | | |

Is large quantity of mine drainage/contaminated water adequately contained with adequate, dependable, reliable engineered structures? Could large quantities of mine drainage/contaminated water be released because of response activities human to natural conditions? Are there portions of the site where activities can continue (e.g., yard drainage/contaminated water release? Is heavy equipment (earth pushing, drilling etc.) operating in areas where there is a potential for catastrophic release? Other factors that should be considered such as wet weather, unstable conditions, increased risk created by delay, particularly vulnerable down gradient receptors (town), etc. Should some or all of the activities at the site be stopped pending a more in depth technical/management assessment?

N

N

Y

N

N